Department of Political Science

Mentoring Policy

All faculty who have not yet passed the critical (5th year) review will be assigned a mentoring committee. Mentoring is **NOT** intended to provide critical evaluation or assessment of progress. The junior faculty member is **not obligated to follow any advice from mentors, nor does the advice constitute a roadmap for or guarantee of promotion or success.**

Committee Composition
The committee will by default consist of one tenured faculty member from within the department and one from another department, however junior faculty members who prefer not to have the second outside person may opt out and have only one mentor. The mentoring committee is appointed by the Department Chair, in consultation with the junior faculty member during his/her first semester at Columbia. Every effort will be made to find mentors, especially those from outside departments who meet at least one of the following criteria: 1) substantive overlap with junior faculty’s research interests and/or type of work (e.g. book vs. article writers), 2) have recently been through the tenure process themselves, 3) former chair of own department, or have served on PTC or TRAC. The committee can change year-to-year.

Charge

- provide support and advice on how to succeed at Columbia and in academia
- serve as a sounding board and advocate (if necessary)
- provide feedback on research
- help orient the junior faculty member within Columbia (e.g., on finding available resources for research and teaching)

Topics mentors might discuss with mentees include:
  - General research agenda and how to prioritize among research projects
  - Obtaining funding and/or opportunities for research leaves
  - Suitability of publication outlets and formats
  - Gaining visibility in the field
  - Balance of research, teaching, and service, strategies for teaching, and for advising graduate students
  - Work/life balance issues (including “infrastructure” issues such as dealing with the Columbia housing office, schools, etc.).

A more specific list of “sample questions” to guide discussion is attached. This list is not a check-list, nor should it constrain discussions, but rather serves as a way to foster a broad-ranging conversation.
Meetings
Mentors meet at least once annually with the junior faculty member (all together, or individually, as the junior faculty member prefers). Mentors should meet with an incoming faculty member during her or his first semester.
Mentors should inform the department chair that this meeting has taken place, but should not report on the substance of the meeting, nor provide an evaluation of the junior faculty member. The junior faculty member should feel free to ask mentors for feedback and advice at other times when needed.
Mentoring meetings should be informal and collegial conversations; junior faculty should feel free to request that conversations, or sensitive aspects thereof, be kept confidential.

Review
The department Chair will review the mentoring program regularly with junior faculty (including the option of providing anonymous suggestions) to ensure its ongoing effectiveness. (Program last reviewed Spring 2015).

Questions to guide meetings between mentors and junior faculty members.

Research:
- What books/papers are under review? Where?
- What specific plans do you have to submit work for review in the future? Where?
- Are there co-authors on your work? Who, for what papers/books? Are your co-authors senior to you, junior to you, or peers?
- What do you see as the overarching theme or contribution of your research?
- Are there infrastructural problems (e.g., IRB approvals, need for RAs or travel money, need to identify research assistants) that are making progress on research difficult?
- If you are writing a book, what are your plans to organize a mini-conference around the book? Note the department has funds to support this.
- Do you have questions about whether it’s better to write a book or a series of articles?
- What efforts have you made (and do you plan to make) to seek outside support for your research? Do you have questions about the process of seeking outside support or have you faced issues in doing so?
- Have you applied for DRIP research funds from the Department?
- What opportunities have you had or will you have to present your work outside Columbia (in department seminars, major conferences, small-scale conferences, etc.)
- Who do you think is the main audience for your work, and based on this who do you think are likely external evaluators should you come up for tenure at Columbia?
- What do you hope to have achieved vis-à-vis concrete research outputs by the time (a) of your next A&S review, and (b) you are scheduled to come up for tenure?
- Are there particular parts of your work that you would like more substantive feedback on from your mentor and/or from other faculty?

Teaching:
- What courses have you taught?
o What has been the feedback from the students? (Consult course evaluations where available)?

o How many grad students are you advising formally? How many informally?

o How much time do you spend talking to students (graduate or undergraduate) about issues other than their research (eg personal problems)?

o During the past semester, what proportion of your work time do you estimate was devoted to any aspect of teaching?

o Are there aspects of your teaching assignments that you feel are unduly burdensome? If so, why?

o Have you had any issues or problems in working with TAs (or know how to utilize them)?

o Have you had any issues or problems in assigning grades to students?

**Service:**

o What service have you provided in the department?

o What service have you provided at Columbia but outside the department?

o What service have you provided outside the University?

o Are there any specific requests for service that you would like to say no to or that you worry are putting undue burden on your time?

o More generally, do you feel you can say no to service requests when you need to?

o Approximately how many articles do you review for journals a year? Do you feel like you know when to say yes and when to say no to such requests?

**Other:**

o What are your leave plans in the coming year(s)?

o Are there issues not covered above that affect your ability to achieve your goals?

o Are you facing any work-life issues (housing, schooling, day care, etc.) that make it difficult for you to do your job well.